**FACTOM**

**COMMUNITY**

**MEETING MINUTES**

**Factom** Governance **Meeting #**20**-**05

**20**20**-0**2-03

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Date and time of meeting** | 2020-02-03, 20:00 UTC |
| **Date minutes drafted** | 2020-02-03, 20:30 UTC |
| **Date minutes approved** |  |
| **Organization/Team** | Factom Guides |
| **Attendees** | Centis BV (Niels), Factom Inc (Brian Deery), TRGG3R LLC (Nolan) |
| **Members not in attendance** | The 42nd Factoid AS (Tor) with notice, DBGrow (Nic R) with notice during meeting |
| **Other attendees** |  |
| **Meeting Leader** | TRGG3R LLC (Nolan) |
| **Meeting Secretary** | Centis BV (Niels) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject 0** | * Roll Call - 3 guides present, 2 not present * Approval of 20-04 Minutes - no quorum, so not approval motion made |
| **Discussion** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |
| **Follow up** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject 1** | Announcements/Reminders/Process timeline |
| **Discussion** | * Current ongoing processes:   + Ongoing Document Ratifications:     - N/A   + Grant success determinations:     - [Factom Inc Protocol Development](https://factomize.com/forums/threads/factom-inc-18-protocol-development.2320/)     - [Bedrock / Defacto - Open Node Enhancement](https://factomize.com/forums/threads/bedrock-defacto-001-open-node-enhancement.1868/)   + Governance Discussions:   + Grant Round:     - Grant Submission phase ongoing. * Submission deadline: February 3rd, 23:59 UTC * [Process discussion / document ratification timeline:](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/15QMJrxErMetSgpzwQUKQ_OjmccqfTNvuImdOLjruvlc/edit?usp=sharing) |
| **Conclusion** |  |
| **Follow up** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Subject 2 | Network Update (Brian) |
| Discussion | Several core members updated to latest version which has gone well. Luap found a problem in the RCP api. Committee needs to decide whether it is a regression or whether it is fix. Probably takes a few days. Main aspects of the version are going well. |
| Conclusion |  |
| Follow up |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Subject 3 | Returning grant funds (Nolan) |
| Discussion | [Link](https://factomize.com/forums/threads/poll-returning-grant-funds.2729/)  Excel Add In - 680 FCT  Vote completed - Standing Parties voted to return to the Exchange Working Group |
| Conclusion | AroundTheBox went back to the standing parties whether the funds should be donated to committees. The standing parties voted to donate it to the exchange committee.  [21:07] [Cube3] MikeB: Any principles for future returns?  [21:08] [Cube3] MikeB: Yes about how we may address future returns.  Niels: Currently FCT from a grant is handed over Probably better to address that in future overhaul of the grant system.  Consensus is it requires an overhaul of the grant system. |
| Follow up |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Subject 4 | Guide Pay Review (Nolan) |
| Discussion | [Link](https://factomize.com/forums/threads/guide-pay-review.2731/)  Vote Completed - Standing Parties elected to maintain 600 FCT / month |
| Conclusion | Vote is concluded and standing parties voted for 600 FCT/ month. No changes there |
| Follow up |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Subject 5 | Protocol resource efficiency improvement proposals |
| Discussion | [Link](https://factomize.com/forums/threads/protocol-resource-efficiency-improvement-proposals.2704)  Vote completed: |
| Conclusion | Niels: Needs more discussion on the outcome. Some clear votes with higher amounts. The guides focused responsibilities being obvious. Easy to vote on, but implementation is another thing. Will need discussion and approach how to handle.  Brian: In initial governance we talked about some guides coming from technical backgrounds, others not. There is precedence for this. More concerned about enough qualified people wanting to become guides.  Legal will have to chime in on grants being paid when successful. If the protocol is determining whether a grant is successful, we might come more in common enterprise territory. But that is more something for the LWG.  Nolan: Needs more discussion this week. |
| Follow up |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Subject 6 | Testnet Load Test |
| Discussion | Brian: Is a change in API a bugfix or maintaining backwards compatibility even if not standard. The loadtest using the traditional mappings we had failed for chocablock because of the integrationtest(s) on the Javascript client failing on this version.  Niels: Ties into core committee consensus on how to handle |
| Conclusion |  |
| Follow up |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Subject 7 | V6.5.1 rollout monitoring (Tasks: Brian |
| Discussion | Brian: Same class of updates. Very very close. Partially roled out already and looking good so far. |
| Conclusion |  |
| Follow up |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject** 8 | U**pcoming tasks** |
| **Discussion** | Nic: (Not present today)  This week:   * Factomize thread involvement in Exchange and Marketing Committee re-formation into working groups. * Announcements as needed for Grant round. * Monitoring Grant round.   **To**r: (Not present today)  This week:  Nolan**:**  Next week:   * Grant round discussions / monitoring * Grant pool calculation * Resource efficiency discussion for tasking to guides / community working groups   Brian**:**  Next week:   * Calculations of total FCT available * V6.5.1 backwards compatibility * Grant round upcoming   Niels:  Next week:   * CI setup for clients * Core committee discussions on meetings, and chairman * Bug bounty * Grant round questions |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subject** 9 | Open Floor |
| **Discussion** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |
| **Follow up** |  |

no motion made to officially adjourn given quorum.

Meeting ended at 20:25 UTC.